Organization
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Hasler

016H26516415 \$00.590

Muled From 22314 12/05/2008

RECEIVED

DEC 18 2008

USPTO MAIL CENTER

BHXHZ

10 11 100

00 12/18/00

NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED UNABLE TO FORWARD

BC: 22313145050

1002080084 COIS

22313@1450

*0217-10278-09-93

hiladhalladh

KNOX Bldg./Room

IfW

SED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov DEC 1 8 2008 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO FILING DATE 10/727,054 12/04/2003 Yair Shachar P-2986-US1 12/09/2008 27130 **EXAMINER** EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK LLP WOO, STELLA L 10 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 1001 NEW YORK, NY 10020 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DELIVERY MODE MAIL DATE 12/09/2008 **PAPER**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/727,054	SHACHAR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Stella L. Woo	2614
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Period for Reply		•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versility to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed not be this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	-	
, , _	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	-	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	• • •	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= : :	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
	•	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/727,054

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-12, 14-17, 19-22, 24-27, 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jewell et al. (US 7,075,564 B1, hereinafter "Jewell") in view of Inagaki (US 2002/0051069 A1).

Jewell discloses a multimedia communication system comprising:

a host computer (controller can be a personal computer; col. 5, lines 18-22); and

a unit external to said host computer (video conferencing interface can be configured as a PC peripheral; col. 5, lines 18-21; col. 6, lines 11-14) and connected to said host computer via an external bus (high speed serial interface circuit 46 can be a USB port; col. 5, lines 26-29), said unit configured to capture a video stream from a video input device (video input means 11; col. 4, lines 3-28), to convert said captured video stream according to a predetermined standard (video decoder module 54 converts analog NTSC or PAL signals to digital video signals 26; col. 4, lines 19-24), and to send said converted video stream to said host computer via said external bus (col. 5, lines 10-13),

wherein said host computer is configured to display content of said converted video stream on a local video output device (computer monitor; col. 5, lines 10-13).

Application/Control Number: 10/727,054

Art Unit: 2614

Jewell differs from the claims in that although it teaches displaying the local encoded video to a computer monitor (col. 5, lines 10-13), it does not explicitly teach displaying the video substantially concurrently while sending the video for remote display. However, Inagaki teaches displaying a local image while transmitting the local image to a remote display (Figure 3, steps S301-S304) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to display the local video in Jewell while transmitting the image to the remote party, as taught by Inagaki, so that the local user can continue to monitor his self-image during a videotelephone conversation.

Regarding claims 2-4, 9, 14, 19, 24, 29-32, video can be output to a computer monitor (col. 5, lines 10-13) and the system uses a hybrid approach including a fixed hardware and fixed software solution (col. 2, lines 17-33).

Regarding claim 7, 12, 17, 22, 27, note use of H.263 video compression/decompression standard (col. 3, lines 11-52).

3. Claims 8, 13, 18, 23, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jewell in view of Inagaki, as applied to claims 1-7, 9-12, 14-17, 19-22, 24-27, 29-32 above, and further in view of Clapp et al. (US 5,802,281, hereinafter "Clapp").

The combination of Jewell and Inagaki differs from claims 8, 13, 18, 23, 28 in that it does not specify the communication network as being one of an IP network, an Ethernet network or an ISDN line. However, Jewell does teach communication over a telephone line and suggests using an equivalent (col. 3, lines 32-35) and Clapp, from the same field of endeavor, teaches the well known use of an ISDN as an alternative to

Art Unit: 2614

the conventional analog POTS (col. 5, lines 60-64) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate connection with an ISDN line, as taught by Clapp, within the communication system of Jewell as an alternative to the telephone line.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-7512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/727,054

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stella L. Woo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/727,054 Examiner Stella L. Woo Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination SHACHAR ET AL. Art Unit Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-2002/0051069	05-2002	INAGAKI, ATSUSHI	348/14.03
	В	US-			
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ł	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т	•				

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	v	
	w	
	×	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.